

## **Climate Change**

Information, Challenges, and Strategies for Georgia DOT

March 30, 2010

## Workshop Purpose

- What is climate change?
- How will it affect state DOTs?
- How can state DOTs adapt to climate change?
- What is the current state of federal legislation?
- How can state DOTs influence national and state policy on climate change?
- How can state DOTs reduce transportation GHG?



### Workshop Overview

- I. Climate Change Science, Sources, and Trends
- II. The Importance of Climate Change to State DOTs Transportation Related Emissions
- III. NEPA/Project Level Analysis
- **IV.** Climate Adaptation for Transportation Agencies
- V. Climate Legislation and Policy
- VI. Strategies to Reduce GHG Emissions from Transportation Sources
- VII. Participant Workshop Developing an Action Plan framework

# I. Climate Change – Science, Sources and Trends



#### What is climate change?

The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) defines Climate Change as:

"A change of climate which is attributed directly or indirectly to human activity that alters the composition of the global atmosphere and which is in addition to natural climate variability observed over comparable time periods."



### Is it just temperature change?

Many people think of climate change as an increase in temperature, or global warming.

But temperature changes reflect the complex interaction between:

- The Sun
- Oceans
- Land
- Ice
- Biosphere
- Atmosphere



### How significant is man-made $CO_2$ ?

 Man-made CO<sub>2</sub> is only a small portion of the CO<sub>2</sub> in our atmosphere...



...but it only takes small amounts to throw our ecosystem out of balance.



#### What is the "Greenhouse Effect"?



### How significant is 1 degree Celsius?

# Research has identified trends and developed measures for assessing the impact:

- An increase of 1°C in a period of 100-200 years would be considered global warming. Over the course of one century, an increase of 0.4°C would be considered significant.
- Global average surface temperature has increased over the last century by about 0.61°C (1.1°F).
- It can take the Earth thousands of years to warm up or cool down just one degree, when it happens naturally.

#### What is the evidence on temperatures?



Source: http://www.globalwarmi ngart.com/wiki/Image:I nstrumental\_Temperatur e\_Record\_png

## What are the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration trends?



# What are the impacts at different temperature increases?



Source: Stern Review, 2008

### What are the scientific findings?

## Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis

- Developed by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)
- Contributions from 2,000 scientists assessing the Earth's environment and the effects of global warming

...a summary for policy makers...

There is 90% certainty that humans are the cause of global warming.



Notable findings in the report:

- Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> levels are at their highest levels in 650,000 years.
- Avg global temperatures have risen ~1.3°F since the industrial age began.
- Sea level rose ~4.8 8.8" worldwide during the 20th century, at a rate more than double that of the past decade

### What is the physical evidence?



Arctic sea ice is retreating –

a measurable change in climate that can be seen

> Source: NASA

#### How will climate change affect the planet?

- Rising sea levels
- Extreme weather
- Higher temperatures
- Threats to human health
- Changes in crop yields
- Precarious ecosystems







#### How certain are the scientists?

• "Warming of the climate system is unequivocal..."

-- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

 "An overwhelming body of scientific evidence paints a clear picture: climate change is happening, it is caused in large part by human activity, and it will have many serious and potentially damaging effects in the decades ahead."

-- Pew Center on Climate Change

#### What are the latest news stories?

- "Climate Change Accelerating, Top Scientists Warn"
- "Globe Warming Faster than Forecast"
- "Urgent Action Needed"
- "ARCTIC: A feedback loop threatens the Earth's air conditioner"
- "Climate Models Understate the Reality"
- "OCEANS: Pollock fishery, nation's largest, faces a crisis"
- "SCIENCE: Prior Warnings of Sea Level Rise May be Understated"
- "Over 2 Trillion Tons of Ice Melted in Arctic Since '03"
- "Climate Change Seen As Threat to U.S. Security"

#### Where did all those GHG come from?



### How much GHG reduction is needed?

- <u>We are past the point of halting climate change</u> the climate is already changing and temperatures will continue to rise, even if we make drastic GHG reductions.
- To avoid the most severe impacts of climate change, we need substantial reductions (60-80% below 1990 GHG by 2050).
- <u>GHGs are cumulative</u>, with a long half life (100 years).
- The longer we wait to make reductions, the deeper future cuts will have to be.

#### What targets have been set?

- Scientists recommend 60-80% GHG reduction below 1990 level by 2050
- Many states and countries have adopted targets in this range
- President Obama's budget: 80% GHG reduction below 2005 by 2050
- Waxman-Markey bill: 17% below 2005 by 2020 and 83% below 2005 by 2050

# How does U.S. GHG/capita compare to other countries?



# How much should the U.S. expect other countries to bear the burden for GHG reductions?

#### Shanghai, China



#### Dhaka, Bangladesh



#### What are the sources of GHG emissions?

# Transportation = 28% of U.S. GHG





#### How much will transportation GHG increase?

- <u>United States</u>: GHG from all transportation modes are projected to remain almost constant through 2030 – but light duty vehicle GHGs will actually decline slightly.
- <u>World</u>: GHG emissions from transportation are expected to rise sharply; <u>soon GHG</u> <u>emissions from transportation in the</u> <u>developing world will greatly exceed those</u> <u>of the U.S</u>.

#### How fast will other countries motorize?

#### Hanoi, Vietnam



#### Beijing, China



# What are the global trends in vehicle ownership and use?



- Today, car ownership in the U.S. is greater than in India, China, and Brazil combined.
- By 2050, car ownership in those countries will by 5x greater than in the U.S.

Source: The King Review, Table 1.1 and Goldman Sachs, "The BRICs and Global Markets: Crude, Cars and Capital: Goldman Sachs Global Economics Paper No 118, 2004.

# What are the global trends in vehicle ownership and use?



Source: WBCSD, 2004a

Source: WBCSD, 2004a: Mobility 2030: Meeting the Challenges to Sustainability

#### Why is vehicle "decarbonization" necessary?

"In the long term, carbon free road transport fuel is the only way to achieve an 80-90% reduction in emissions, essentially "decarbonization."

Aston Advanced Royce plc, March 2008 --The King Review for the U.K. Government, by Professor Julia King, Vice-Chancellor of University and former Director of Engineering at Rolls-

"[I]n the period beyond 2100, total GHG emissions will have to be just 20% of current levels. It is impossible to imagine this without decarbonization of the transport sector."

> -- Sir Nicholas Stern, Stern Review to the U.K. Government, 2007

# What groups are leading the charge against global warming?



#### Summary

- Climate change is real
- Substantial efforts needed to stabilize and reduce GHG emissions
- Global and cumulative problem
- As underdeveloped countries develop, levels of GHG emissions increase
- Delay will magnify the challenges of GHG emission reduction

# II. The Importance of Climate Change to State DOTs



### A Three-Part Challenge to State DOTs

- 1. <u>Reduce transportation GHG</u>, especially highway GHG, 60-80% by 2050
- 2. <u>Find a new revenue stream</u> suitable for lowcarbon fuels
- Adapt transportation infrastructure to rising sea levels, more severe storms, higher temperatures, and flooding

#### **Transportation's Role in Climate Change Mitigation:** TRB Executive Committee: June 2008

- Moving away from our dependence on oil and reducing GHG emissions will be <u>the greatest challenge to decision-</u> <u>making for transportation policies, programs, and</u> <u>investments in the coming decades.</u>
- Other sectors are moving on climate change policies faster than transportation
- States are adopting sweeping policies with little or no input from transportation agencies or experts

#### Wal-Mart Perspective

#### "We know we need to get ready for a world in which energy will only be more expensive."

Wal-Mart will cut 20 MMT of GHG from its supply chain by the end of 2015 — equivalent to removing >3.8 million cars from the road for a year.

Wal-Mart is already requiring suppliers to cut packaging, selling private-label CFL bulbs in Mexico, and labelling clothes as cold-water wash.

Should State DOTs adopt Walmart's perspective and strategies?

#### Transportation is a major source of GHG

# Transportation = 28% of U.S. GHG





#### Highway Vehicles Account for 85% of Transportation CO2 Emissions – and 24% of all U.S. CO2


# DOE Projects Slight Decline in LDV GHG Emissions

**GHG Emissions from Light-Duty Vehicles** (USDOE, Annual Energy Outlook 2009) 1200 1000 800 600 400 200 2018 2021 2024 2012 2015 2006 2009 2027 2030 **2009** Report

## U.S. VMT growth rates are declining– but will zero or negative VMT growth be expected?

- VMT growth has been steadily declining since the 1950s
- VMT growth slowed to about 1.5% in early 2000s
- VMT growth was actually negative in 2008
- VMT is affected by population, economy, transportation prices, demographics, land use
- AASHTO supports reducing VMT growth rate to 1% per year



#### VMT GROWTH RATE PER DECADE

#### VMT closely linked to disposable income



### DOE expects VMT and MPG both to rise



### As VMT and MPG rise, GHG is nearly flat



#### VMT is not a good proxy for GHG estimates



## What should the GHG reduction target be for the transportation sector?

#### Economists:

- Reduce GHG emissions as cost-effectively as possible, even if that means much larger reductions in some sectors than others
- Evidence is accumulating that reducing transportation GHG 80% would be more costly than same % reduction in other sectors
- Ergo: Transportation GHG reduction targets probably should be lower

#### • Political reality:

- Transportation will be expected to contribute its "fair share"
- Room for debate about what "fair share" means.
- Often-cited goal is <u>60 to 80%</u> from current levels.

## Policy debate can be intense

- <u>Climate skeptics</u>: Climate change isn't happening, or isn't human-induced
- <u>Environmental view</u>: Transform land use, increase transit, and reduce VMT
- <u>Techno-optimist view</u>: Transform vehicle/fuel technology and improve highway/driver operations
- <u>Pragmatic view</u>: Combination -- mostly vehicles/fuels, some operational efficiency, plus modest role for land use, transit, and VMT moderation

## Policy views may hinge on ....

#### Your profession:

*"If the only tool you have is a hammer, every problem looks like a nail."* 

#### And how you use data:

"If you torture data long enough, they will admit to anything."

## GHG reduction debates overshadow 2 more challenges bearing down on state DOTs

- <u>New revenue sources</u>: New revenue sources are needed that are appropriate in a climate changedominated world, with electric vehicles and new fuels
- <u>Climate adaptation</u>: Huge funding increases, riskbased planning and programming, and tough policy decisions will be needed to adapt to a changing climate

## Summary

Three major challenges to state DOTs:

- <u>Reduce transportation GHG</u>, especially highway GHG, 60-80% by 2050
- <u>Find a new revenue stream</u> suitable for a world of new low-carbon fuels
- <u>Adapt transportation infrastructure</u> to rising sea levels, more severe storms, higher temperatures, and flooding

## **III. NEPA / Project Level Analysis**



## Does NEPA require climate change analysis for transportation projects?

- Draft NEPA Guidance Issued by CEQ on February 18, 2010. Comments due:
- Several states require climate analysis in state environmental documents (CA, WA).
- In CA, key issue is what is threshold for analysis?
- Litigation likely, public perception at variance with reality; GHG differences tiny between project alternatives.
- Example: For 100-mile highway/transit corridor on CO I-70, the largest GHG difference among 13 alternatives was 0.00102% of CO's GHG in 2020.

- Draft released Feb 18, 2010
- Comments due May 24, 2010
- Seeks comment on how federal agencies can improve consideration of effects of greenhouse gas emissions and climate change in evaluation of projects under NEPA
- Proposal: If a proposed action causes direct emissions of 25,000 metric tons of CO2 equivalent GHG annually, a quantitative and qualitative assessment may be helpful

- For long term actions, if annual emissions less than 25,000 metric tons CO2-equivalent, consider whether similar analysis would be helpful.
- Agencies should consider global context and ensure that useful information is provided for specific actions that cause 25,000 metric tons CO2-equivalent
- Seeks comment on how agencies "can tailor the amount of documentation for NEPA proportionate to the importance of climate change to the decision-making process".

- CEQ Proposed evaluation of GHG Emissions
- Consider quantifying those emissions (over 25,000 metric tons CO2-equivalent annually)
  - Where reporting protocols exist, use them (e.g. Mandatory EPA requirements for stationary sources, etc.)
  - Where protocols do not exist, use interagency process under NEPA
  - Consider
    - Direct and indirect effects
    - Energy use
    - Mitigation opportunities
    - If a determination that a cumulative assessment of effects of GHG emissions useful
      - Assess annual and cumulative emissions of the proposed action and compare to alternatives

#### Adaptive Planning

- Discusses climate change effects that should be considered in project development such as flooding in low lying areas, development of coastal infrastructure
- Also discusses reasonably foreseeable future condition with no action
- Guidance also provides references to useful materials and links.

#### Recent History – Court Rulings on NEPA/GHG

3 cases overturned FONSI/EA/EIS for lack of climate analysis:

- Center for Biological Diversity et al. v. NHTSA
- Mid States Coalition for Progress v. Surface Transportation Board
- Border Power Plan Working Group v. DOE
- 4 cases upheld lack of climate analysis or sufficiency of analysis:
  - Audubon v. DOT, 2007
  - Friends of the Earth v. Mosbacher, 2007
  - Association of Public Agency Customers, Inc. v. Bonneville Power Admin, 1997
  - Mayo Foundation v. Surface Transportation Board, 2006

## **DEIS for Columbia River Crossing**

#### The Interstate Bridge I-5 over the Columbia River

- 2 side-by-side bridges
- Northbound built in 1917, southbound built in 1958
- 3 lanes each direction
- The only red light on I-5 from Canada to Mexico





### **DEIS For Columbia River Crossing**

- Won national award for GHG analysis from National Association of Environmental Professionals
- DEIS issued May 2008
- Project is for congested river crossing between Portland OR and Vancouver WA
- Estimated cost of \$3.1 \$4.2 billion
- 4 build alternatives all are a combination of transit (BRT or LRT) and improved highway capacity

## DEIS For Columbia River Crossing – GHG Results

- Every build alternative has lower GHG than nobuild
- Relatively small differences among build alternatives
- Transit GHG emissions varied substantially
- Highest GHG: The alternative with more transit, higher toll, and less highway improvement

Source: Colin McConnaha, Parametrix, Inc.

## Summary

- CEQ Draft Guidance will impact required NEPA Analysis
- Consider both
  - \* impact of project on GHG; and
  - \* impact of climate change on project
- Tools will be needed to evaluate GHG emissions
- Documentation will be important
- Mitigation actions can be helpful

## IV. Climate Adaptation for Transportation



# Why Transportation Agencies Should Plan for Adaptation

- Sea level rise & storm surges
  - Destruction of bridges
  - Erosion & permanent inundation of roads
  - Disruption of evacuation routes & road network
  - Bridge clearance limitations
- Other types of impacts
  - Increased flooding
  - Pavement and rail buckling
  - Increased flooding
  - More severe inland storms
  - Increased maintenance



Source: http://mceer.buffalo.edu/research/Reconnaissance/Katrina8-28-05/05BiloxiBay1/09lg.jpg

## Transportation Research Board Special Report 290



Potential Impact of Climate Change on U.S. Transportation (TRB Special Report 290)

Transportation Research Board Division on Earth & Life Studies National Research Council

## TRB Special Report 290: "Potential Impact of Climate Change on U.S. Transportation"

- Climate change will affect <u>every mode</u> of transportation and <u>every region in the United</u> <u>States</u>, and the challenges to infrastructure providers will be new and often unfamiliar.
- State and local governments and private infrastructure providers will need to incorporate adjustments for climate change into <u>long-term</u> <u>capital improvement plans, facility designs,</u> <u>maintenance practices, operations, and</u> <u>emergency response plans</u>.

# TRB Special Report 290: "Potential Impact of Climate Change on U.S. Transportation"

- <u>Design standards</u> will need to be re-evaluated and new standards developed as progress is made in understanding future climate conditions and the options for addressing them.
- <u>Transportation planners</u> will need to consider climate change and its effects on infrastructure investments. Planning timeframes may need to extend beyond the next 20 or 30 years.
- Institutional arrangements for transportation planning and operations will need to be changed to incorporate cross jurisdictional and regional cooperation.

## Gulf Coast Study on Climate Change



Source: Mike Savonis, FHWA

### Timeframes





Source: Mike Savonis, FHWA

## Gulf Coast Study on Climate Change – Study Area



Source: Mike Savonis, FHWA

## Gulf Coast Study on Climate Change -- Rationale

#### Why Study the Gulf Coast?

- Nationally significant
  - 60% of nation's petroleum imports
  - Major urban centers
- Extensive intermodal network
  - 17,000 miles of highway
  - 83.5 billion VMT per year
  - 6 of 7 Class I railroads
  - 56M passengers at 3 largest airports (2005); 3800 aircraft based at 61 airports
- Engaged decision-makers

Source: Mike Savonis, FHWA

7

## Gulf Coast Study on Climate Change – Highways and Relative Sea Level Rise



Source: Mike Savonis, FHWA

#### Gulf Coast Study on Climate Change – Freight Handling Ports Vulnerable to Sea Level Rise



Source: Mike Savonis, FHWA

## Ports Vulnerable to Storm Surge...



Source: Mike Savonis, FHWA

# Freight Rail Lines Vulnerable to Storm Surge....



Source: Mike Savonis, FHWA

### **Transportation Planning Relevance**

#### **Results** – Gulf Coast Study Transportation Planning

- Climate change is rarely considered today, but the longevity of infrastructure argues for its integration
- Current practice focusing on a 20-year time frame is not well-suited to the assessment of impacts due to the natural environment
  - Private sector planning horizon reported to be much shorter
  - Planning for operations in its infancy
- It is useful to examine the vulnerability of the intermodal system in addition to specific facilities

23

Source: Mike Savonis, FHWA
## Gulf Coast Study on Climate Change – Storm Surge Vulnerabilities

#### Results – Gulf Coast Study Vulnerability Due to...Storm Surge

- Transportation infrastructure that is vulnerable to 18 feet of storm surge includes:
- 51% of interstate miles, 56% of arterial miles, and most transit authorities
- 98% of port facilities vulnerable to surge and 100% to wind
- ✓ 33% of rail miles operated, 43% of freight facilities
- ✓ 22 airports in the study area at or below 18 feet MSL
- Potentially significant damage to offshore facilities

1

Source: Mike Savonis, FHWA

## Gulf Coast Study on Climate Change – Range of Adaptation Approaches

#### Implications... Range of Adaptation Responses

- Maintain and manage
  - Absorb increased maintenance / repair costs
  - Improve real-time response to severe events
- Strengthen structures / protect facilities
  - Design changes when rebuilding / new investment
  - Promote buffers
- Enhance redundancy
  - Identify system alternatives
- Relocate / avoid
  - Move or abandon existing facilities
  - Site new facilities in less vulnerable locations

Source: Mike Savonis, FHWA

26

## U.K. Highways Agency Adaptation Strategy Model

- Model identified potential impacts of climate change of the UK road network
- Resulted in a climate change adaptation strategy
- Strategy addresses design, construction, and maintenance
- Includes a risk appraisal for all operations



## States Focusing on Climate Adaptation

- Coastal states are most concerned
- Multi-sector reviews of vulnerability
- Often led by resource agencies
- State DOT role -- significant to minor
- Still early on the learning curve

- California
- Pennsylvania
- Maryland
- Washington
- Hawaii
- Alaska
- Florida
- Massachusetts
- North Carolina

# In Georgia, which coastline areas will be protected?



For a \$2 million EPA study of the Atlantic Coast, the Georgia **Coastal Regional Commission** created sea level rise planning maps that divide coastal land into four categories: developed (shore protection almost certain), intermediate (shore protection likely), undeveloped (shore protection unlikely), and conservation (no shore protection), to help start the dialogue for communities to decide what they should do.

## Summary

- All modes of transportation threatened
- Affects all transportation functions planning, programming, environment, location, design, construction, operations, emergency planning – and budgeting
- Gulf Coast and low lying coastal areas especially vulnerable
- Risk assessment and prioritization is key
- Transportation planners need to be aware of and adapt to climate change impacts on our transportation infrastructure
- Looming in future: where **not to build or re-invest**?

## V. Climate Legislation and Policy



## Climate legislation and policy

- 1. AASHTO position
- 2. Federal legislation cap and trade
- 3. Federal legislation transportation
- EPA proposed "endangerment" finding (section 202(a) of CAA
- 5. State climate action plans

## AASHTO Position on Climate Change

- Major R&D to decarbonize vehicles/fuels (comparable to "man on the moon")
- Reduce VMT growth to 1%/year
- Double transit ridership
- Increase intercity passenger rail
- \$100 M/year Federal funding for coordinated land use/transportation planning
- Oppose GHG conformity requirement
- See AASHTO "Real Transportation Solutions" at <u>http://www.transportation1.org/RealSolutions/summary.html</u>.

## **Climate Change Legislation - Federal**

#### House Climate Legislation

- 3/31/09: Waxman-Markey draft issued
- 5/05/09: Waxman-Markey introduced
- 06/26/09: Waxman-Markey passed by House

### Senate Climate Legislation

- 09/30/09:
- 11/05/09:
- Late 2009:

Kerry-Boxer introduced in Senate Kerry-Boxer approved in Committee Kerry-Boxer stalls; effort begins to develop bipartisan compromise bill

Source: Bill Malley, Perkins Coie

## Major Elements of Climate Bills

#### • Cap-and-Trade

- Mandate reductions in total GHG emissions

#### Energy Production

 Provides incentives and other support for production of renewable energy (and maybe nuclear, oil & gas)

#### Energy Efficiency

- Provides incentives and tighter regulations to promote greater efficiency.

#### Transition Assistance

 Provides assistance to ease impact of higher energy prices on consumers and U.S. industries

Source: Bill Malley, Perkins Coie

## **Basics of Cap and Trade**

#### How a cap-and-trade program works:

- Set a cap on total GHG emissions, and reduce it over time
  - 17 to 20% reduction by 2020
  - 83% reduction by 2050
- Issue "allowances" to emit GHGs within the cap
  - Some allowances are auctioned; others distributed free
- <u>Allowances</u> are an economic asset that can be traded
  - Receiving a free allowance is like receiving dollars.
- Offsets can be purchased in lieu of allowances
  - An offset is obtained by paying for a reduction made by sources outside the cap, including sources in other countries.
  - Example: pay to avoid deforestation in a developing country.

Source: Bill Malley, Perkins Coie

## **Climate Legislation - Transportation**

- How would the House and Senate bills reduce GHG emissions from transportation?
  - Include transportation fuels in the cap
    - Provides a "price signal" to promote technological innovation and changes in vehicle choices, land use, and behavior
  - Promote cleaner vehicles and fuels with funding, regulation:
    - Vehicle and fuels R&D
    - Vehicle recharging infrastructure
    - GHG emission regulations
  - Create new transportation planning requirements
    - Development of models and methods
    - State and MPOs set targets for GHG emission reductions
    - States and MPOs develop strategies for achieving targets

Source: Bill Malley, Perkins Coie

## Federal Legislation – Cap and Trade -Transportation Planning Provisions

- States and TMA MPOs must develop GHG reduction targets and strategies, as part of transportation plans
- States and TMA MPOs must "demonstrate progress in stabilizing and reducing" GHG emissions
- EPA must issue regulations on transportation GHG goals, standardized models, methodologies, and data collection
- US DOT shall not certify state or MPO plans that fail to "develop, submit or publish emission reduction targets and strategies"
- US DOT must establish requirements, including performance measures, "to ensure that transportation plans... sufficiently meet the requirements.., including achieving progress towards national transportation-related GHG emissions reduction goals."

## Impact on Transportation Fuel Prices

- How would the House and Senate bills affect the price of transportation fuels?
  - EPA analysis of House Bill (6/23/09) estimated House bill would raise gas prices by 14 cents/gallon by 2015.
  - EPA makes two key assumptions:
    - Relatively <u>low cost to adopt new technologies</u> that reduce GHG emissions, such as carbon capture and sequestration (CCS).
    - Relatively *widespread use of "offsets"*
  - Without these assumptions, prices could be much higher.
  - EPA has not yet released an estimate of the gasoline price impacts of the Senate bill.

Source: Bill Malley, Perkins-Coie

## Impact on Transportation Fuel Prices

EPA Projections; Alternative Projections

	2015	2030	2050
EPA Projection	\$0.14	\$0.24	\$0.69
CRA: Base Case	\$0.19	\$0.38	\$0.95
CRA: "Low-Cost"	\$0.17	\$0.34	\$0.84
CRA: "High-Cost"	\$0.36	\$0.71	\$1.82
CRA: "No International Offsets"	\$0.52	\$1.08	\$2.79

Source for CRA Estimates: CRA International, "Impact on the Economy of the American Clean Energy and Security Act of 2009 (H.R.2454), pp. 4 and 64-66.

## Legislation: Impact on Transport GHG

- Would the House and Senate bills be effective in reducing transportation GHG emissions?
  - EPA projects that the price signal from cap-and-trade would have little effect on transportation emissions

"The increase in gasoline prices that results from the increase in the carbon price ... is <u>not sufficient</u> to substantially change consumer <u>behavior</u> in their vehicle miles traveled or vehicle purchases ...." "The relatively modest indirect price signal on vehicle manufacturers from this particular cap-and-trade policy <u>creates little</u> <u>incentive for the introduction of</u> <u>low-GHG automotive technology</u>."

Source: http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/economics/economicanalyses.html

## Legislation: Issues and Obstacles

#### Impact on Consumers

 "Putting a price on carbon" raises price of electricity & fuel, especially in coal-dependent States.

#### Impact on Competitiveness

 If we put a price on carbon, but others do not, will it harm competitiveness of U.S. industries?

#### Potential for Manipulation

 Creates a new financial market – trading in emissions allowances. How will this be regulated?

#### Effectiveness

 Are offsets "real" reductions? Will they undermine effectiveness of the cap?

Source: Bill Malley, Perkins Coie

## Legislation: Status

- House: Passed Waxman-Markey bill on the floor in 2009
- Senate: Boxer-Kerry bill reached floor in 2009, then stalled
- Senate: Now working on new bipartisan compromise bill
  - Led by Kerry, Graham, Lieberman
  - Potentially a much different approach:
    - "Sector-specific"
    - Transportation fuels may be outside the cap, but subject to a "carbon tax" that is lined to cost of allowances
    - Increased role for nuclear power, oil & gas production.
  - Concepts being floated now
  - Bill could be introduced in next few weeks

Source: Bill Malley, Perkins Coie

## Federal Legislation -- Transportation Bill

- Key climate change issues in transportation legislation include:
  - Modal funding and policy emphases to support GHG goals
  - Explicit or implicit VMT reduction goals?
  - Compact land use planning incentives?
  - GHG reduction targets?
  - GHG conformity requirements?
  - GHG performance measures?
  - GHG planning requirements?
  - GHG environmental review requirements?
  - Adaptation planning requirements?
  - Adaptation funding?

## Policy Issue: Should VMT be a GHG Performance Metric or Target?



## Clean Air Act -- EPA Proposed Finding of "Endangerment"

- EPA may act to regulate GHG under existing Clean Air Act (CAA)
- April 2009 EPA proposal:
  - Atmospheric concentrations of GHG "endanger" public health and welfare (per CAA section 202(a))
  - Emissions of GHG from new motor vehicles "contribute to" air pollution which is endangering public health and welfare
- If EPA finalizes this proposal, EPA is obligated to regulate GHG (e.g., GHG standards for autos)
- GHG conformity possible, but not likely

## **State Climate Action Plans**

Source: Pew Center on Climate Change



## **State Climate Action Plans**

- Highly "aspirational"
- Managed by state environmental agencies
- Steering Committees included multiple environmental advocates and rarely had transportation agency reps
- State DOT involvement was at a technical advisory level, whose input was often rebuffed
- Example: VT strategies would reduce 2030 VMT from 10.5 billion (base case) to 3.9 billion VMT

## State Climate Plans – Transportation Elements Vary All Across the Map

State	Year	Vehicle	Low Carbon Fuels	Smart Growth and Transit	Other
MN	2025	15%	35%	25%	25%
NC	2020	35%	12%	38%	15%
SC	2020	14%	55%	29%	1%
СТ	2020	51%	38%	8%	2%
ME	2020	53%	25%	21%	1%
MD	2025	24%	12%	45%	20%
NY	2020	59%	11%	27%	4%
PA	2025	45%	36%	18%	0%
RI	2020	46%	10%	31%	14%
VT	2028	21%	14%	49%	17%

# Transportation Planning Ground Rules will be Critical – Methods, Tools, etc..

- 1. Who will set the rules for transportation GHG planning?
- 2. What models will be allowed or required?
- 3. What data will be required?
- 4. What assumptions will be permitted?
- 5. How will baseline GHG be calculated?
- 6. What form will GHG targets take? (total GHG? per capita? for freight and passenger? passenger only?)
- 7. How will through-traffic GHG be counted?
- 8. How will state GHG planning and MPO GHG planning mesh?

## One Emerging Tool: GHG Model under Development by Oregon DOT

#### **GreenSTEP = Greenhouse gas State Transportation Emissions** Planning model

- A statewide planning model to help Oregon develop a statewide transportation strategy on greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions
- Complements metropolitan travel demand models and ODOT's integrated statewide model
- Peer Review by Oregon travel modelers and experts in other disciplines
- Many elements have been estimated using 2001 NHTS data
- Open source model developed and implemented in open source software (R programming language)
- Partially developed with FHWA SPR program funds

## **GreenSTEP** Overview



## GreenSTEP Inputs

- Demographic changes
- Relative amounts of development occurring in urban and rural areas
- Metropolitan and other urban area densities
- Urban form
- Amounts of metropolitan area public transit service
- Highway capacity
- Vehicle fuel efficiency
- Vehicle ages

- Electric vehicles
- Fuel & carbon pricing
- VMT pricing
- Demand management
- Effects of congestion on fuel economy
- Carbon content of fuels including well to wheels impacts
- CO2 production from electrical power use for transportation

## GreenSTEP can Analyze Many Different Strategies



## VI. Strategies to Reduce GHG from Transportation



## Five GHG Reduction "Legs"

## Transportation GHG reduction has 5 legs:

- 1. Vehicle efficiency
- 2. Low-carbon fuels
- 3. VMT Reductions (including land use)
- 4. Vehicle/System Operations
- 5. Construction, Maintenance, and Agency Operations

#### **Examples:**

- Higher CAFE standards 380 gm/mile to 250 gm/mile 2016
- CA's low carbon fuel standard
- Less travel, could be in part due to land use changes
- Signalization, ITS, Eco-driving
- Materials, maintenance practices

### Vehicle/Fuel Improvements Will be the <u>Dominant</u> Source of GHG Reductions for LDVs

#### By 2020-2030:

- 50% cut in GHG/mile is feasible from conventional technologies and biofuels
- Compare these GHG rates in U.S. and Europe:

380 grams/mile
250 grams/mile
256 grams/mile
2009 in the U.S.
2016 under new Obama standard
206 grams/mile
2007 actual in the E.U.
209 grams/mile
2012 under E.U. regulation
2020 under E.U. regulation

- LDV purchase cost will rise, but fuel savings will be greater than vehicle cost increase
- Win-win-win: reduces energy use, reduces GHG, saves money

## President Obama's CAFE/GHG Proposal will Significantly Reduce Highway GHG Below Baseline

#### EPA MY2012-2016 GHG Standards Projections Based on Public Target

	Fuel Economy	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	
2011 CAFE standard	27.3 mpg	325 gpm	
2016 target GHG standard	(34-35.5 mpg)	250 gpm	
% GHG reduction		23%	

## Potential Fuel Economy Increase by 2030

A 2007 MIT study predicts MPG gains of 80-85% for model year 2030 vehicles via continuous improvement of conventional technology at a rate of 2-2.5%/year.



## Even Greater Vehicle "Decarbonization" is Necessary

"In the long term, carbon free road transport fuel is the only way to achieve an 80-90% reduction in emissions, essentially "decarbonization."

> --The King Review for the U.K. Government, by Professor Julia King, Vice-Chancellor of Aston University and former Director of Advanced Engineering at Rolls-Royce plc, March 2008

*"[I]n the period beyond 2100, total GHG emissions will have to be just 20% of current levels. It is impossible to imagine this without decarbonization of the transport sector."* 

-- Sir Nicholas Stern, Stern Review to the U.K. Government, 2007
## Low-Carbon Fuels

#### • Many different low-carbon fuel possibilities:

- Corn ethanol
- Sugar cane ethanol
- Diesel
- Cellulosic biofuel
- Algae biofuels
- Electricity from renewable energy or nuclear power
- Electricity from utilities with carbon capture & storage
- Hydrogen
- Carbon intensity measured as GHG/unit of energy must account for "life-cycle" emissions
- California LCFS:
  - Adopted in 2008
  - Aims to reduce carbon intensity of passenger vehicle fuels by 10% by 2020
  - Measures carbon-intensity on a life-cycle basis "from field to wheel."

## **GHG** Intensity of Different Fuels

Figure 1. Net Lifecycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions By Lifecycle Component With 100 Year Time Horizon And 2% Discount Rate.



## **Renewable Fuel Standard**

- EISA of 2007 requires use of 36 billion gallons of biofuels by 2022.
  - Includes 21 billion gallons of advanced biofuels
  - Up from 5 billion in 2006.
- To achieve that goal, EPA mandates % of biofuels to be blended into all gasoline.

#### Biofuel Usage Mandates under EISA (billions of gallons)

Source: Bill Malley, Perkins-Cole



#### Possible State DOT Roles in Decarbonization

- 1. Influence state policies on low-carbon fuels/vehicles
- 2. Use planning scenarios to emphasize need for decarbonization
- 3. Plan/provide plug-in infrastructure for electric and PHEV vehicles (coordinate with utilities)
- 4. Support federal transportation funding for technology/fuel R&D
- 5. Educate the public and elected officials
- 6. Provide incentives for consumers to use lower carbon fuels/vehicles (lower fees for low-carbon vehicles/fuels)
- 7. Support low -carbon fleet conversion for state vehicle fleets
- 8. Adjust facilities and operations to accommodate decarbonized vehicles and fuels

## But More GHG Reductions are Needed

- Vehicle/fuel improvements can meet most GHG reductions, but may not suffice
- We also need near-term strategies
- Lowering VMT growth and improving operating efficiency of vehicles and highway system are also needed

## What Would it Take to Achieve 74% LDV GHG Reduction by 2050?

1% Annual VMT Growth + 100 mpgge LDV Fleet + 10% Operational Efficiency



### Many Strategies to Reduce LDV VMT

- Economy-wide carbon cap and trade (raises fuel prices)
- Transportation pricing (PAYD insurance, parking pricing, tolls, higher user fees, cordon pricing, congestion pricing, etc.)
- Carpooling and vanpooling (currently carry 7 times as much work trip PMT as transit)
- Bike/ped and transit (but some transit is higher GHG than LDV)
- Trip chaining
- Tele-working, tele-shopping, tele-education, tele-medicine
- Compact land use

When VMT dropped in 2008, where did it go? We know <2% of the lost VMT went to transit, but don't know where the rest of the drop went.

### Pricing – A Necessary and Powerful Tool

- Without price signals, trying to reduce GHG is swimming upstream
- Pricing incentivizes 3 legs of the GHG stool
  - Purchase of lower-carbon vehicles and fuels; and
  - Lower VMT
  - Eco-driving behavior
- Many different pricing tools available: auto "feebates," carbon/fuel prices, PAYD insurance, mileage fees, parking pricing, congestion pricing, etc.
- <u>Pricing produces revenue to invest in alternatives</u>

"We know we need to get ready for a world in which energy will only be more expensive." -- Wal-Mart

## **Consumers Respond to Prices**

Gasoline Prices Surged in Summer '08, and Consumers Responded, revealing fuel price elasticity





## CO<sub>2</sub>e Emissions Per Passenger Mile for Various Modes

	<b>T T</b>	4 <b>*</b> 4 <b>*</b>	T 1	
NATIONAL AVERAGE	<b>Energy Intensities</b>		Load Factor	CO <sub>2</sub> e
	(Btu or kWhr per vehicle mile)	(Btu or kWhr per passenger mile)	Persons Per Vehicle	(Estimated Pounds CO <sub>2</sub> e Per Passenger Mile)
Single Occupancy Vehicle (SOV) LDVs	5,987	5,987	1.00	0.99
Personal Trucks at Average Occupancy	6,785	4,329	1.72	0.71
Transit Bus	37,310	4,318	8.80	0.71
Cars at Average Occupancy	5,514	3,496	1.57	0.58
Electric Trolley Bus	5.2	0.39	13.36	0.52
High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) LDVs at 2+ Occupancy	5,987	2,851	2.10	0.47
Intercity Rail (Amtrak)	54,167	2,760	20.50	0.39
Light and Heavy Rail Transit	62,797	2,750	22.50	0.39
Motorcycles	2,226	2,272	1.20	0.37
Commuter Rail	92,739	2,569	31.30	0.36
Vanpool	8,048	1,294	6.10	0.21
Walking or Biking	-	-	1.00	0.00
REGIONAL EXAMPLE (SEATTLE/PUGET SOUND REGION)	<b>Energy Intensities</b>		Load Factor	CO <sub>2</sub> e
	(Btu or kWhr per vehicle mile)	(Btu or kWhr per passenger mile)	Persons Per Vehicle	(Estimated Pounds CO <sub>2</sub> e Per Passenger Mile)
Cars (64%) and Personal Trucks (36%) at Average				
Occupancy	5,987	4,468	1.34	0.74
King County Metro Diesel and Hybrid Buses	33,024	2,854	11.57	0.47
Sound Transit Buses	33,024	2,517	13.12	0.42
King County Electrically-Powered Trolley Buses	5.33	0.44	12.12	0.11

## Carpooling and Vanpooling

- Important but underappreciated (7 times as many PMT for work trips nationally are in carpools and vanpools as on transit)
- Low cost for government, wide availability, saves users money
- Effective in all kinds of areas rural, small urban areas, suburban, urban
- Nearer-term payoff than most transportation strategies
- Atlanta MPO and WASHCOG pay for commuters to carpool (\$2/day)

## Transit Helps Reduce GHG – but has Small Impact Nationally

- Transit serves many different goals and there is broad support for increasing transit.
- But as a national GHG strategy:
  - Transit serves 1% of PMT and 0% freight in the U.S.
  - DOE: Bus transit has higher GHG/passenger mile traveled than average auto use in the U.S. (Increasing bus service can worsen GHG.)
  - APTA studies: (a) Transit reduced GHG by 6.9 MMT in 2005; or (b) by 35 MMT in 2005. This is 0.3% to 1.7% of U.S. transportation GHG
- Transit GHG benefits are realized with highly patronized services in high volume corridors -- a market limited to high volume, generally densely developed corridors.
- Improved auto efficiencies will challenge transit's GHG reducing abilities.
- European Ministers of Transport caution: "Modal shift policies are usually weak in terms of CO2 abated. <u>They can not ... form the corner-stone of effective CO2</u> <u>abatement policy....</u>"

## Land Use Effect on GHG Depends on Assumptions

- "Growing Cooler" finds compact development can achieve 3.5-5% reduction in transportation GHG, 2007-2050
- GC's assumptions of land use change are <u>very</u> aggressive:
  - 67% of all development in place in 2050 will be constructed or rehabbed after 2005
  - 60-90% of that development is compact (comparable to 13.3 housingunits per acre)
  - Compact development has 30% less VMT than very sprawling development
- "Moving Cooler" finds smaller GHG effect, even with 90% compact land use for future urban development

## TRB Study: Driving and the Built Environment

- New TRB Policy Study finds <1% to 11% GHG reduction by 2050, depending on aggressiveness of assumptions
  - Study looks at effects of compact development on travel, energy use, and CO2 emissions
  - Disagreement among committee members about feasibility of changes in development patterns and public policies necessary to achieve high-end of estimated reductions
- Recommendations
  - Policies that support compact, mixed use development should be encouraged
  - More carefully designed studies of the effects of land use patterns on VMT, energy use, CO2 emissions are needed to implement compact development more effectively
- Source: National Academies, Transportation Research Board, *Driving and the Built Environment*, August 2009

## Less VMT via Land Use: The 8 "D"s

- 1. Diversity (mix) of land uses
- 2. Density of urban form e.g. UGB
- 3. Design quality of the (ped/bike) environment
- 4. Destination accessibility O/D links
- 5. Distance to transit
- 6. Development scale (site, sector, municipality, region)
- 7. Demographics
- 8. Demand Management



#### Shifting Housing and Jobs : Urban Form Study – Boise



## Less VMT via Land Use: Planning Process

- Create a trends/base case
- Create a set of land use alternatives with greater 8-D features and matching transportation features (more transit, less highways usually)
- Include parking/pricing policies
- Test outcomes (sketch tools or models)
- Compare outcomes via expanded indicators
- Adopt regional plans (or State plans) that set targets and incorporate mix of sticks and carrots

### Maine Gateway Rte 1

#### **Development Patterns compared to Low Density**



## Tysons Corner – Sector Plan

#### **Unprecedented transformation**

- Suburban to urban
- Doubling jobs
- Adding 10X housing
- New land use plan
- Adding street connectivity with redevelopment
- New implementing authority



Tysons Today



**Tysons Transformed** 

## Tysons Corner - Lower Carbon Footprint

#### Preliminary assessment:

- Greenhouse Gas emissions 16% less per capita
- 2.5 billion lb. annual reduction
- Results from
  - Compact development
  - Fewer auto trips
  - Greater transit use

#### Daily CO2 Per Capita



### Our Travel Models will need some Adjusting



## Less VMT via Land Use: Findings/Caveats

- VMT reduction of 7.9% (av. of 62 scenarios)\*
- VMT reduction max. of 17% in hypothetical 2050 meta-analysis with very aggressive assumptions\*
- VT/VHD effects unclear/unknown so GHG impacts not directly proportional
- Scenarios/visions may not consider local plans
- Analysis excludes other impacts
  e.g. growth deflection, housing
  cost impacts, market & institutional
  constraints

\*Bartholomew and Ewing, Land Use-Transportation Scenarios and Future Vehicle Travel and Land Consumption, JAPA Winter 2009



# Vehicle/System Operations to Reduce GHG

### Potential for 10-20% LDV GHG reduction by:

- Managing speed (35-55 MPH is optimal)
- Speed limits/enforcement (could reduce fuel use 2-4%)
- Eliminating bottlenecks
- "Active" traffic management to smooth traffic flow
- Improving signal timing (could reduce 1.315 MMT CO<sub>2</sub>/yr)
- Roundabouts (multiple benefits)
- Reducing car and truck idling
- Work zone management to smooth flow
- Encouraging eco-driving

## U.C. Riverside - Traffic Congestion and Its Impact of GHG Emissions: Can ITS Help?

- Studied traffic congestion and impact on CO2 emissions, used detailed energy and emissions models linked to realworld conditions
- CO2 emissions can be reduced with three strategies
  - Reduce severe congestion, allow traffic to flow at higher speeds
  - Reduce excessively high free-flow speeds to more moderate conditions
  - Eliminate accel/decel events associated with stop and go traffic in highly congested conditions
- Author: Dr. Matthew Barth, May 2008
- http://www.its.uci.edu/its/whatsnew/barth2.pdf.

## Effect of Speed on GHG



Source: Matthew Barth and Kanok Boriboonsomsin, "Traffic Congestion and Greenhouse Gases," Access magazine, Fall 2009.

### Traffic Operation Strategies To Reduce CO<sub>2</sub>



Source: Matthew Barth and Kanok Boriboonsomsin, "Traffic Congestion and Greenhouse Gases," Access magazine, Fall 2009.

## Portland, OR Traffic Signal Timing Project

- Began 2002, 10-year project
- Climate Trust funded project and pays for CO2 offsets from project
- Improve signal timing on 17 major arterials
  - Optimize traffic flow
  - Reduce idling, acceleration, C02 emissions and emissions from criteria pollutants
- Model for traffic signal offset projects
- <u>http://www.climatetrust.org/traffic\_signals.html</u>.

# Eco-Driving – 15% GHG Reduction Potential

- EcoDrivers can reduce fuel and CO2 by an average of 15% through smart driving and vehicle maintenance.
- If 50% of drivers practiced EcoDriving, CO2 would drop by 100 million tons annually (the equivalent of heating and powering 8.5 million households)
- Pilot by City of Denver with 300 drivers achieved 10% fuel reduction and similar GHG reduction
- Useful for HDV, MDV, and LDV drivers
- Major push in Europe as GHG strategy
- Aided by dashboard displays of real-time MPG

## www.EcoDrivingUSA.com

- EcoDrivingUSA<sup>™</sup> -- nationwide effort to increase overall vehicle fuel economy and preserve the environment
- Partnership of Governors, auto industry, environmental groups
- Website:
  - Be an EcoDriver
  - EcoCalculator
  - EcoDriving Quiz
  - Virtual Road Test
  - <u>Is Your Community EcoDriving?</u>
  - Educational Tools
  - <u>News and Events</u>
  - Join the EcoDriving Movement
  - Link this website on your blog or site
- For more information and to join the EcoDriving movement contact: Seena Faqiri at 202.326.5518 or sfaqiri@autoalliance.org.

## Truck GHG is 20% of U.S. Transportation GHG – and Rising



## Freight – Ton-Mile/GDP Trends

#### Goods Movement and GDP



Source: Corbett and Winebrake, 2009.

J. Winebrake, Asilomar, 2009.

## Truck GHG is Growing Faster than Other Transportation GHG



## Freight GHG – Barges and Rail

Source: Texas Transportation Institute and Center for Ports and Waterways



## **Diesel Retrofits Reduce Black Carbon**

- Black carbon is emitted during burning of fossil fuels
- EPA conducting study on impact on GHG due early 2011
- Diesel emissions considerable, smoke and soot
- A "forcing" agent in heating up climate, blocking sunlight
- Today's particulate filters for on road and off road engines reduce PM up to 99%, including reductions in black carbon

## **Diesel Retrofits Reduce Black Carbon**

- On-road diesel truck retrofits reduce PM to 2007 EPA standards and black carbon
- Locomotive retrofits reduce PM and black carbon
  Achieve over 76% PM and 25% fuel efficiency
- Cost-effective way to reduce emissions and save energy immediately.
- Retrofits could be promising as state DOTs work to reduce emissions to meet potential planning requirements

## The Diesel Locomotive Retrofit Process

- Each existing locomotive is stripped from the deck up, removing the large, single diesel engine
- Three smaller, ultra-clean diesel generators are fitted onto the platform, along with control and operating equipment
- An immediate emissions reduction of 86% ozone precursors, 76% Particulate Matter, and a 25% fuel savings, with a corresponding 25% reduction in greenhouse gas CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, is realized following this diesel retrofit
## **Project Cost-Effectiveness**

- Each locomotive diesel retrofit provides annual reductions equal to 16,100 kg/yr ozone precursors and 417 kg/yr particulate matter
- The project cost-effectiveness varies with the life of the project. Over five years, the cost-effectiveness of ozone precursor reductions is \$13.91/kg of ozone precursor reduction

#### Cost Effectiveness of Ozone Precursor Reductions



# Freight GHG Strategies in State Climate Action Plans

- Anti-idling programs
- Truck stop electrification
- Speed limit enforcement
- Freight villages/consolidation centers
- Feeder barge container service
- Traffic flow improvements
- Pre-clearances at scale houses
- Truck driver training
- EPA SmartWay up-grade kits & loans & diesel retrofits

- Incentives to retire older trucks
- Freight logistics improvements
- Shifting freight from truck to rail
- Hybrid power trucks
- Low-viscosity lubricants
- Single wide-base tires
- Automatic tire inflation systems
- "Black carbon" control technologies % retrofits

Detailed info available in NCHRP 20-24(59), Appendix C

# "Best Practices Guidebook for GHG Reductions in Freight Transportation"

- NC State University report to US DOT, 2007
- Covers trucks, freight rail, marine, air freight, pipeline
- Identifies 33 "best practices" for reducing truck GHG (plus 26 for other freight modes)
- All 33 could reduce truck GHG in 2025 by 12% below 2003 (compared to 67% increase intruck GHG if best practices are not implemented)

# 33 Truck GHG "Best Practices" from NC State Report

- Off-board truck stop electrification
- Auxiliary power units for trucks
- Alternative refrigerants for trucks
- Truck aerodynamic improvements
- Wide-base truck tires
- Low-rolling resistance tires
- Hybrid trucks
- Lightweight materials
- B20 biodiesel fuel
- And more....

# Potential State DOT Strategies to Reduce HDV/MDV Truck GHG Emissions

- Speed management, traffic flow improvement, and bottleneck reductions that reduce inefficiencies in truck travel
- Programs to clear traffic incidents quickly and reduce construction zone congestion that tie up trucks
- Incentives for truck owners to retrofit or upgrade trucks to reduce GHG emissions. PM reductions also reduce black carbon.
- Support for efficient intermodal freight facilities and efficient access to seaports, rail, and marine facilities
- Programs to support freight logistics (e.g., efficient clearance at border crossings)

# Potential State DOT Strategies to Reduce HDV/MDV Truck GHG Emissions (continued)

- Truck stop electrification (to reduce engine idling)
- Other programs and policies to reduce truck idling
- Truck driver training/educational programs for low-GHG driving practices
- Infrastructure changes to allow for doublestack trains
- Improvements to highway-rail grade crossings
- Support for R&D and regulations to develop and deploy technology and fuel improvements that reduce freight GHG.

# Strategies: Construction, Maintenance, & Agency Operations

- Significant sources of GHG and energy use
- Many opportunities to reduce GHG and energy cost from current system:
  - LED traffic lights
  - Low carbon pavement
  - Energy-efficient buildings
  - Reduced roadside mowing
  - Solar panels on ROW
  - Alt fuels and hybrid vehicles in DOT fleets
  - Alt fuel buses

# Solar Panels for Highway Lighting

594 solar panels produce 122,000 KWH/year to light interchange Avoids nearly 43 metric tons of GHG/year from normal electricity \$1.28 M project in operation for over a year PPP of OR DOT, PGE, and US Bank, using state and federal tax credits Could be a model for other DOTs **ORDOT** planning 2 additional projects www.oregonsolarhighway.com

# One Scenario to Achieve 50% Transport GHG Reduction by 2030

Greene & Schafer (Pew Center, 2003) concluded that a comprehensive, tailored set of strategies could cut U.S. transportation emissions in half by 2030.



### McKinsey: Available Technologies can Reduce 3 Billion Tons GHG/Year at < \$50/ton

(compare to projected 9.7 billion tons economy-wide in 2030)



The analysis found that abatement options are highly fragmented and widely spread across the economy. Almost 40 percent of abatement could be achieved at "negative" marginal costs, i.e., the savings over the lifecycle of these options would more than pay for the incremental investment, operating, and maintenance costs. Realizing the potential of many negative-cost options would require overcoming persistent barriers to market efficiency.

-- McKinsey & Company

# "Moving Cooler" (MC)

- Evaluated non-technology transportation strategies for (a) GHG reductions and (b) cost-effectiveness in reducing GHG
- Analyzed 46 individual transportation strategies and 6 "bundles" of strategies
- The 46 individual strategies: pricing strategies, transit strategies, land use strategy, operational strategies, freight strategies, nonmotorized strategies, regulatory strategies, bottleneck/capacity strategies, etc.
- The 6 "bundles" of strategies:
  - 1. Near Term/Early Results
  - 2. Long Term/Maximum Results
  - 3. Land Use/Transit/Nonmotorized
  - 4. System and Driver Efficiency
  - 5. Facility Pricing
  - 6. Low Cost
- Did not analyze technology/fuel strategies (instead, technology is part of the baseline)

# **MC** Deployment Scenarios

# MC analyzes the 46 strategies and 6 bundles at 3 different levels of deployment intensity:

- A = Expanded Current Practice
- B = More Aggressive
- C = Maximum Deployment

# Example for "Intercity Toll" strategy:

A = Toll all intercity interstate highways at a minimum of \$0.02/mile by 2020

B = Toll all intercity interstate highways at a minimum of \$0.03/mile by 2015

C = Toll all intercity interstate highways at a minimum of \$0.05/mile by 2010

# MC Findings – Individual Strategies

Individual strategies achieve GHG reductions ranging from <0.5% to 4.0% cumulatively 2010-2050, compared to onroad baseline GHG

- 15,186 mmt carbon pricing equiv to \$2.71/gallon
- 3,361 mmt VMT fees equiv to \$2.53/gallon
- 2,428 mmt speed limit reductions
- 2,233 mmt PAYD auto insurance (100%)
- 1,815 mmt eco-driving by 20% of drivers
- 1,445 mmt at least 90% of new urban development is compact, with high quality transit
- 1,241 mmt congestion pricing fully implemented in 120 metro areas at 65 cents/mile
- 575 mmt \$1.2 trillion transit expansion
- 352 mmt combination of 10 freight strategies

# MC Findings -- Bundles

#### "Maximum" strategy bundle can reduce cumulative on-road GHG by 16% compared to on-road baseline, over 40 years

- Intercity tolls imposed in 2010 at 5 cents/mile
- Congestion pricing fully implemented at 65 cents/mile in 120 metro areas
- \$400 permit fee to park on neighborhood streets
- \$1.2 trillion transit expansion
- Bike lanes every 1/4 mile
- New and increased parking fees
- 90% of new urban development is compact, in dense Census tracts, with high quality transit
- Heavier and longer trucks allowed (up to 139,000 lbs)
- Eight more freight strategies
- Eco-driving by 20% of drivers
- Speed limit reductions
- Top 200 bottlenecks improved to LOS D
- And more...

# European View of Transport GHG Strategies

(European Council of Ministers of Transport, 2006)

- "The most effective measures available include <u>fuel taxes, vehicle</u> <u>and component standards, differentiated vehicle taxation, support for</u> <u>eco-driving and incentives for more efficient logistic organization,</u> <u>including point of use pricing for roads</u>."
- "More integrated transport and spatial planning policies might contain demand for motorized transport."
- Mode shifts ... cannot ... form the corner-stone of effective CO2 abatement policy and the prominence given to modal shift policies is at odds with indications that most modal shift policies achieve much lower abatement levels than measures focusing on fuel efficiency."
- "Ultimately higher cost energy sources .... will be required if there are to be further cuts in transport sector CO2 emissions."

# Starter Menu of GHG Strategies – Low Hanging Fruit

- Eco-driving programs
- Carpool/Vanpool programs
- Telework promotion
- Traffic signal synchronization
- Adaptive signalization
- LED traffic lights
- Roundabouts
- Truck stop electrification

- Lower-carbon pavements
- Reduced roadside
  mowing
- Partnering with local govts to coordinate land use/ transportation planning
- Diesel locomotive, truck, construction equipment retrofits
- Anti-idling programs

# Summary

### Many strategies are needed to reduce transport GHG – some more powerful than others:

- Maximize energy efficiency of current vehicle technology
- Decarbonize vehicles and fuels world-wide
- Adopt pricing measures to reward conservation and tech innovation
- Push "eco driving" and system/speed management
- Adopt more efficient land use
- Support carpools & vanpools, biking, walking, transit use, trip chaining, telecommuting
- Adopt low carbon, energy-conserving strategies in construction, maintenance, and agency operations
- Implement wide-ranging freight technology and logistics improvements

# **VII. Participant Workshop**



# Participant Workshop

- A working session in break-out groups to identify an initial set of activities for a GA DOT to get started with:
- Action Plan
  - (a) GHG reduction strategies and framework;
  - (b) Climate adaptation planning;
  - (c) Public communication strategies;
  - (d) Outreach/collaboration with other agencies and organizations.

# INFORMATION RESOURCES



# **Resources -- Websites**

- AASHTO: <a href="http://realsolutions.transportation.org/Pages/default.aspx">http://realsolutions.transportation.org/Pages/default.aspx</a>
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC): <u>http://www.ipcc.ch/</u>
- US DOT Transportation and Climate Change Clearinghouse: <u>http://climate.dot.gov/index.html</u>
- FHWA Climate Change Program <u>http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/hep/climate/index.htm</u>
- The Pew Center on Global Climate Change: <u>http://www.pewclimate.org/</u>
- EPA Climate Change Program
  <u>http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/</u>
- TRB Climate Change Activities
  <u>http://www.trb.org/main/SpecialtyPageClimateChange.aspx</u>

# Resources – Key Documents

- AASHTO, "Primer on Transportation and Climate Change," 2008
- NCHRP 20-24 (59), "Strategies for Reducing the Impacts of Surface Transportation on Global Climate Change," 2009
- European Council of Ministers of Transport, "Review of CO2 Abatement Policies for the Transport Sector," 2006
- U.S. DOE, "Annual Energy Outlook," 2009 (primary source of official U.S. data on energy and GHG)
- TRB Special Report 290: "Potential Impacts of Climate Change on U.S. Transportation," 2008
- Pew Center on Climate Change, "Climate Change 101"

# The Primer

- AASHTO "Primer on Transportation and Climate Change"
- http://downloads.transportation.org/ ClimateChange.pdf

Primer on Transportation and Climate Change

April 2008



### **AASHTO - Real Transportation Solutions**





Real Transportation Solutions for Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reductions

> A utos and light-duty trucks contribute 16.5 percent of the greenhouse gases in the United States. States are looking at the best ways to reduce these emissions while sustaining the transportation services people and businesses depend upon. We are committed to doing our part to help achieve the goal of reducing U.S. greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions 80 percent by 2050. Our strategies include:

- Smarter Travel: Reduce the rate of growth in the number of vehicle miles traveled (VMT) in the United States.
- 2. Better Cars: Increase vehicle fuel efficiency.
- Better Fuels: Shift to fuels that produce low or zero carbon dioxide emissions.
- Optimize the System: Improve the efficiency and operation of our roads.



http://www.transportation1.org/RealSolutions/RealSolutionsReport.pdf

# **TRB Special Report 290**

- "Potential Impacts of Climate Change on U.S. Transportation"
- http://onlinepubs.trb.org/online pubs/sr/sr290.pdf



### **Pew Center on Global Climate Change**

- "Climate Change 101"
- <u>http://www.pewclimate.org/globa</u>
  <u>l-warming-basics</u>

#### CLIMATE CHANGE 101 Understanding and Responding to Global Climate Change

Technological Solutions Business Solutions

Science and Impacts

International Action

State Action

**Overview** 

Local Action







## Resources – AASHTO

- AASHTO Climate Change Steering Committee: CCSC acts as a focal point and coordinating body for AASHTO's activities related to climate change. CCSC members act as the focal point for AASHTO on climate change policy issues and provide oversight and guidance to AASHTO's Climate Change Technical Assistance Program.
- AASHTO Technical Assistance Program on Climate Change: This is a new, voluntary program that provides timely information, tools and technical assistance to assist AASHTO members in meeting the difficult challenges that arise related to climate change.

For more information on AASHTO's Climate Change Steering Committee and Climate Change Technical Assistance Program, please contact: Shannon Eggleston at AASHTO (202) 624-3649 seggleston@aashto.org

## Contact Info for Workshop Instructors

Cynthia J. Burbank Parsons Brinckerhoff <u>burbank@pbworld.com</u> 202-661-9262

Sarah J. Siwek Sarah J. Siwek & Associates ssiwek@aol.com 310-417-6660 x224